Case: 2:03-cr-00108-GLF Doc #: 24 Filed: 07/11/06 Page: 1 of 2 PAGEID #: 29

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :

Plaintiff, : Case No. 2:03-cr-108

v. : Judge Holschuh

GWENDOLYN ROGERS, :

Defendant.

:

## **ORDER**

On August 22, 2003, Defendant pled guilty to a charge of possession with intent to distribute in excess of 500 grams of cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). Defendant was sentenced on October 31, 2003 to 84 months in prison followed by 4 years of supervised release. Defendant did not appeal her conviction or sentence.

On May 1, 2006, Defendant moved to amend her sentence in light of the Supreme Court's decision in <u>United States v. Booker</u>, 543 U.S. 220 (2005). (Doc. # 22). Defendant contends that, during sentencing, the Court stated that it would sentence Defendant to less than 7 years if it were possible. Defendant argues that, now that the sentencing guidelines are advisory, as detailed in <u>Booker</u>, her sentence should be amended.

However, the Sixth Circuit has made clear that, for those defendants who have already been sentenced, <u>Booker</u> applies only if their cases were pending on direct appeal on the date <u>Booker</u> was decided. <u>See Humpress v. United States</u>, 398 F.3d 855 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u>, 126 S. Ct. 199 (2005). Because Defendant's case was not pending on direct appeal on the date <u>Booker</u> was decided, this Court does not have the authority, under <u>Booker</u>, to review Defendant's

sentence. Defendant's motion to amend her sentence (Doc. # 22) is therefore **DENIED**.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 11, 2006 /s/ John D. Holschuh

John D. Holschuh, Judge United States District Court